CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS			
Gerald Martin and Sh of Harriet Martin, dece	aron Reid, Co-Administrators of the eased		Johnson, Acting Secre Health and Human Ser	tary of the United States vices	
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff Montgomery	County of Residence	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant		
• •	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES (ONLY)	
			ND CONDEMNATION CASES, US D INVOLVED.	E THE LOCATION OF THE	
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name	e, Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)			
• •	uire, 509 Swede Street, Norristown,	PA			
19401; (610) 292-930					
II. BASIS OF JURISI			PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
		(For Diversity Cases Only)	PTF DEF	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) 		☐ 1 ☐ 1 Incorporated or Pri of Business In This	incipal Place 🗇 4 🗇 4	
☑ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		O 2 O 2 Incorporated and P of Business In A		
			3 G 3 Foreign Nation	☐ 6 ☐ 6	
XX NI WILDE OF CHI	CF.	Foreign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SUI	T (Piace an "X" in One Box Only)	FORESTUREPENANTA	BANKRUPTCY	CHERSTATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJ	URY 🗇 610 Agriculture	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Inju ☐ 315 Airplane Product		☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability 365 Personal Injur	y - of Property 21 USC 881		☐ 450 Commerce	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment		· .	PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Produc	t 550 Airline Regs.	☐ 830 Patent	Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability Liability □ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROP	☐ 660 Occupational ERTY Safety/Health	☐ 840 Trademark	480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV	
(Excl. Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud	☐ 690 Other		☐ 810 Selective Service	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 371 Truth in Lend 350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Persona		SOCIAL SECURITY 3 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Dam	age Act	862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 875 Customer Challenge	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 385 Property Dam 360 Other Personal Product Liabil		☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	12 USC 3410 ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETU	& Disclosure Act IONS 740 Railway Labor Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act	
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation	441 Voting 510 Motions to Vo		☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 893 Environmental Matters	
220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment Sentence ☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	or Defendant) 7 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 895 Freedom of Information	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land	Accommodations 🗇 530 General		26 USC 7609	Act	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus &		10.	☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access	
220 Am Guide Road Froporcy	Employment 🛛 550 Civil Rights	463 Habeas Corpus -		to Justice	
	☐ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 555 Prison Condit Other	ion Alien Detainee 465 Other Immigration		950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Actions			
□ 2 R	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	Peopened anoti	sferred from	Appeal to District Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment	
, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which yo	u are filing (Do not cite jurisdiction	nal statutes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON Brief description of cause: Medicare Secondary Payer Lie	en Challenge			
VII. REQUESTED IN	☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTI	ON DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23		JURY DEMAND:	☐ Yes Ø No	
VIII. RELATED CAS	(See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE	SIGNATURE OF	ATTORNEY OF RECORD			
04/22/2009	James	V Sermi			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		, ,			
RECEIPT#	MOUNT APPLYING IF	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	OGE	

E-Mail Address

APPENDIX I

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CABLI	IAMAGIMILITI IIIICICII DI	ESTOTATION TOTAL			
Gerald Martin and Co-Administrators Estate of Harriet	of the :	CIVIL ACTION			
Mr. Charles E. Job Secretary of Heal Services		NO.			
plaintiff shall complete a ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant the plaintiff and all other pa which that defendant believ	se Management Track Design ye a copy on all defendants. (So event that a defendant does not shall, with its first appearance arties, a case management trace yes the case should be assigned		ime of everse g said rve on		
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CASE MANA	GENIENT TRACES:			
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28 U.S.C. §22	41 through §2255.	()		
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits					
(c) Arbitration – Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.					
d) Asbestos – Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.					
commonly referred to as	Cases that do not fall into trace complex and that need special side of this form for a detailed	al or intense management by			
management cases.)			()		
(f) Standard Management –	Cases that do not fall into an	y one of the other tracks.	(x)		
	James P. Devin				
04/22/09	James I. Devine	Plaintiffs			
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for			
610-292-9300	610-270 - 0503	egaraymiller@gmail.co	om		

FAX Number

Telephone

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Co-Admini Estate of Mr. Charl Secretary	artin and Sharon Rei istrators of the f Harriet Martin V. les E. Johnson, Acti y of the United Stat nt of Health and rvices DISCLOSURE	: : : ng es	Civil Action No: NT FORM			
Please chec	k one box: N/A					
ü	The nongovernmental corporate party,, in the above listed civil action does not have any parent corporation and publicly held corporation that owns 10% or more of its stock.					
	The nongovernmental corporate party,, in the above listed civil action has the following parent corporation(s) and publicly held corporation(s) that owns 10% or more of its stock:					
	Page 11					
04/22/09		James	. P. Dern			
Date	1	U	Signature			
	Counsel for:	plainti	ffs			
Federal Rul (a)	two copies of a disclosure s	. A nongov statement tl corporation	vernmental corporate party must file nat: n and any publicly held corporation			
	(2) states that there is n	o such corp	poration.			
(b) Tı	petition, motion, resp and	atement wit ponse, or of	h its first appearance, pleading, ther request addressed to the court;			
	(2) promptly file a suppl	ementai sta	tement if any required information			

changes.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

GERALD MARTIN and SHARON REID, :

Co-Administrators of the Estate of

Harriet Martin, deceased

332 Centre Avenue

Jeffersonville, PA 19403

CIVIL ACTION No.:

Re: HIC No.: ***-**-9925A

ALJ Appeal Docket No.: 1-166193001

٧.

MR. CHARLES E. JOHNSON, ACTING : SECRETARY OF THE UNITED STATES : DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND : HUMAN SERVICES :

200 Independence Avenue, S.W.

Washington, D.C. 20201

COMPLAINT

PARTIES

- The plaintiffs are Gerald Martin and Sharon Reid, adult individuals who are the duly appointed personal representatives of the estate of Harriet Martin. Gerald Martin resides at 332 Centre Avenue, Jeffersonville, Pennsylvania 19403. Sharon Reid resides at 6241 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19139.
- 2. The defendant is Mr. Charles E. Johnson, Acting Secretary of the United States

 Department of Health and Human Services, whose office is located at 200

 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20201.

JURISDICTION

3. This Court possesses subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 42 U.S.C.A. §405 (g).

VENUE

4. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1395 ff (b).

FACTS

- 5. The plaintiffs herein filed a lawsuit captioned <u>Gerald Martin and Sharon Reid</u>, <u>Co-Administrators of the Estate of Harriet Martin v. Albert Einstein Medical</u> <u>Center and Lisa Medvetz, M.D.</u>, C.C.P. Philadelphia County, November Term, <u>2003</u>, No. 3100 on November 21, 2003, arising from the death of their mother, Harriet Martin, in December, 2001.
- 6. In said lawsuit, plaintiffs claimed that their mother's death was the result of medical professional negligence that occurred during an Albert Einstein Medical Center ("AEMC") hospitalization that started with Harriet Martin's presentation to the Emergency Department on November 17, 2001. Plaintiffs did not claim that the entirety of the care rendered during that hospitalization was negligent or was necessitated because of medically improper care. Rather, plaintiffs claimed that their mother, Harriet Martin, died because of an unreasonable delay in receiving proper treatment that resulted from certain improper care. Plaintiffs' decedent, Harriet Martin, presented to Albert Einstein Medical Center with certain symptoms and she needed to be evaluated, diagnosed and treated for her underlying medical problem irrespective of the negligence that ensued.

- 7. The aforementioned lawsuit, <u>Martin v. AEMC</u>, was ultimately settled amicably by the parties.
- 8. After the underlying case was resolved, Medicare asserted a claim for \$72,089.04 for medical bills paid by Medicare for Harriet Martin's entire November 17, 2001 hospitalization at the Albert Einstein Medical Center. In accordance with the law, Medicare reduced said amount to reflect its proportionate share of procurement costs, including attorney fees and a pro-rata share of case costs.
- 9. Through counsel, plaintiffs notified Medicare's contractor of their objection to the amount of Medicare's claim, explaining in detail the basis for their objection. The essence of the objection is that Medicare is not entitled to be reimbursed for payment it made for treatment that the beneficiary, Harriet Martin, needed which is unrelated to the medical negligence. Stated differently, Medicare is entitled to be reimbursed only for those medical expense payments it made for treatment that was necessitated by medical negligence. Plaintiffs paid the full amount of Medicare's claim under protest, reserving their rights.
- 10. Plaintiffs pursued all required administrative procedures and appeals before filing this action.
- 11. By letter dated February 23, 2009, the Department of Health and Human Services,

 Medicare Appeals Council notified plaintiffs of its decision upholding the

 Administrative Law Judge's decision which had affirmed Medicare's

 determination that plaintiffs owed Medicare the entire amount Medicare paid for

the AEMC hospitalization bill, without reduction of any amount reflecting the payment for care that Harriet Martin needed and received unrelated to the medical negligence claim.

- 12. Plaintiffs file the instant lawsuit seeking partial reimbursement of the amount paid to Medicare because certain of the medical services provided to Harriet Martin during her November 17, 2001 AEMC admission were not as a result of the professional negligence of healthcare providers at AEMC, but instead a portion of Harriet Martin's AEMC hospital bill was incurred as a result of her underlying medical condition that caused her to be hospitalized in the first place. As such, Medicare is not entitled to be reimbursed the full amount that Medicare paid for the November 17, 2001 AEMC hospitalization. Medicare's decision to require full reimbursement of the entire amount Medicare paid for the November 17, 2001 Albert Einstein Medical Center admission is plainly erroneous, and Medicare failed to meet its burden of demonstrating that all of the care Harriet Martin received during that hospital admission was the result of medically improper care. Medicare, the Honorable Administration Law Judge and the Medicare Appeals Council applied an erroneous legal standard in determining the case.
- 13. The amount in controversy exceeds \$1,220.00.

WHEREFORE, plaintiffs request judgment in their favor and against the defendant for an amount not in excess of \$150,000.00, plus costs and whatever damages the Court deems just.

LAW OFFICE OF JAMES I. DEVINE

Date: April 22, 2009

JAMES I. DEVINE, ESQUIRE

Attorney for Plaintiffs

509 Swede Street, Norristown, PA 19401

Telephone: (610) 292-9300 Attorney I.D. No. 39270